



SouthDakota

Legislative
Research
Council

MINUTES
Executive Board

Representative Dean Wink, Chair
Senator Corey Brown, Vice Chair
Representative Spencer Hawley, Ranking Minority Member

Second Meeting
2015 Interim
April 27, 2015

Room 413
State Capitol Building
Pierre, South Dakota

The second meeting of the Executive Board for the 2015 Interim was called to order by **Representative Dean Wink** at 10:40 a.m. (CDT) in room 413 of the State Capitol, Pierre, South Dakota.

A quorum was determined with the following members answering the roll call: Representative Dean Wink, Chair; Senator Corey Brown, Vice Chair; Representatives Jim Bolin, Brian Gosch, Don Haggar, Spencer Hawley, Roger Hunt, Timothy Johns, and Fred Romkema; Senators Phyllis Heineman (via telephone), David Omdahl, Scott Parsley, Billie Sutton, and Jim White.

Staff members present included Jason Hancock, Director; Sue Cichos, Deputy Director; Doug Decker, Code Counsel; Annie Mehlhaff, Chief Analyst for Fiscal; David Ortbahn, Chief Analyst for Research; and Cindy Tryon, Senior Secretary.

NOTE: For purpose of continuity, the following minutes are not necessarily in chronological order. Also, all referenced documents distributed at the meeting are attached to the original minutes on file in the Legislative Research Council office. This meeting was web cast live. The archived web cast is available at the LRC web site at <http://legis.sd.gov>.

Approval of Agenda

REPRESENTATIVE HUNT MOVED, SECONDED BY REPRESENTATIVE BOLIN, TO APPROVE THE AGENDA. The motion prevailed unanimously on a voice vote.

Approval of Minutes

REPRESENTATIVE GOSCH MOVED, SECONDED BY REPRESENTATIVE HUNT, TO APPROVE THE MINUTES OF THE MARCH 30, 2015, EXECUTIVE BOARD MEETING. The motion prevailed unanimously on a voice vote.

LRC Management Reports

Mr. Jason Hancock, Director, reported that the senior management team had a one-day retreat out of the office where they shared their thoughts on session and discussed what needs to be done in preparation for the next session. They came up with a list of about 60 projects for the LRC to work on over the interim. These are projects that go beyond the normal day-to-day work of the LRC staff. All of these projects will help improve the efficiency and effectiveness of the Legislative Research Council (LRC) and the South Dakota Legislature.

Ms. Sue Cichos, Deputy Director, said that she has been working mostly in the IT area recently. She added that she is excited about the list of projects Mr. Hancock had mentioned and discussed one in particular. After the legislative session ended, a meeting was held with some of the LRC staff and the clerks who work in the House and Senate Chambers during session. The first meeting focused on the current process, looked for inconsistencies, and gathered input and suggestions. The group will also look for efficiencies and modernizations as well as improving support.

Mr. Doug Decker, Code Counsel, reported that his major function right now is the publication of Session Laws. Copy for the Session Laws is about completed and will soon go to the publisher. Mr. Decker said that he has been going through the laws and regulations and other publications to update the use of "Shannon County" to the new "Oglala Lakota County." Mr. Decker added that the codification of the new laws has been completed and are to West Law for completion by the first of July.

Mr. David Ortbahn, Chief Analyst for Research and Legal Services, said that the research staff has been busy taking calls and answering questions about the 2015 session and the new laws, as well as reviewing initiatives that have been submitted to the LRC office for proper form and style. New this year regarding the initiatives is the requirement to obtain a prison impact statement if there is a penalty as part of the initiative. This prison impact statement or cost estimate is very similar to those required for bills during session that have penalties. The estimates are written as briefly as possible because the information will be included on the ballot.

In response to Representative Bolin's question, Mr. Ortbahn said that five initiated measures and one initiated constitutional amendment have been reviewed by LRC so far this interim.

Ms. Annie Mehlhaff, Chief Analyst for Fiscal Research and Budget Analysis, reported that the Joint Committee on Appropriations will be touring several state facilities in June. At this time, the tour schedule includes the State Penitentiary, Southeast Technical Institute, a community provider in Sioux Falls, the Human Services Center in Yankton, and the University of South Dakota in Vermillion. Ms. Mehlhaff said that the members of the Executive Board are welcome to join any part of the tour if they would like, and should contact her to be added to the list of attendees.

Ms. Mehlhaff said that the fiscal staff and the information technology staff are looking at other states' budget data management systems for use by the South Dakota Legislature. Some of the states are still in session and once those sessions have adjourned, that project will move forward.

MHEC Update

Ms. Annie Mehlhaff and Mr. Doug Decker gave a report to the Board regarding South Dakota's membership in the Midwestern Higher Education Compact (MHEC) (**Handout #1**). MHEC was created in the early 1990's for higher education institutions in the Midwest to pool their resources and gain efficiencies for making purchases and conducting research. The twelve member states are Illinois, Indiana, Iowa, Kansas, Michigan, Minnesota, Missouri, Nebraska, North Dakota, Ohio, South Dakota, and Wisconsin. There is a 60-member commission that governs MHEC. The legislatures of each member state appoint two commission members, and the Governors each appoint three commissioners. Senator Tidemann and Representative Wismer were the most recent appointments from the South Dakota legislature. Those appointments ended December of 2014.

Membership dues were paid by the Governor's Office in the first year, FY08 and continued through FY10. The LRC's Executive Board voted to fund the FY11 dues which were eventually added to the LRC base budget in FY13. The amount of the annual dues for FY08 through FY15 was \$95,000. The

budgeted amount in the LRC budget remains at \$95,000, but MHEC has increased the dues to \$115,000 for FY16 and that amount becomes payable on July 1, 2015.

South Dakota participates in three of the eight programs offered by MHEC: Technology Program, Policy Initiatives, and Property Insurance Program. Although South Dakota does not actually purchase the property insurance from MHEC, they use the policy rates offered to get reduced rates from other insurance companies and that savings on insurance is \$168,233. The savings realized through the technology purchasing program was \$166,472.

MHEC is used by more than just higher education institutions. Several K-12 schools and other governmental entities also use the technology programs to help save money on hardware and software purchases.

Representative Wink said that he had asked MHEC for a breakdown of the savings for hardware and software but had not received a response. Ms. Mehlhaff said that she would get that information for him.

Senator Corey Brown gave some history of how it came to be that the Legislature pays the dues. The Governor's Office did not budget for the dues in the FY11 budget, so the Executive Board felt they needed to fulfill the obligation owed by the State of South Dakota. Senator Brown said that the Board was not unanimously supportive of having to take on this extra responsibility.

Representative Wink reported that in no other state does the Legislature take on that responsibility, and in most of the states it is the Board of Regents that pays the MHEC dues.

Mr. Decker said that the authority to belong to MHEC is in SDCL 13-53C-1 and 13-53C-2. These statutes give the Governor the authority to enter into the compact with MHEC and list the duties, powers, and responsibilities of the compact.

Representative Spencer Hawley asked if a decision is made to give a two-year notice to MHEC, should the Board of Regents be contacted first and is it possible to just drop out this year?

Mr. Decker explained that Article VII of SDCL 13-53C-1 cites the two-step process for withdrawing from the compact. The State must repeal the authority by statute and then can withdraw two years after that. Article V is the financial portion of the statute but merely says that the money shall be appropriated when authorized by the Legislature. Mr. Decker said that how the two steps work together is subject to interpretation.

Representative Wink asked Senator White if the Budget Subcommittee would continue to look into this issue. **Senator Jim White** said that the Appropriations Committee has heard some of these same comments and concerns. As chair of the Executive Board's Budget Subcommittee, Senator White said that they will request an audited statement and look further at the concerns as to whether or not South Dakota is getting its money's worth by belonging to MHEC.

Representative Don Hagggar asked that if South Dakota withdrew from MHEC and the two year notice was given, would the Legislature need to appropriate funds? Mr. Decker responded that there is nothing in this compact that compels an appropriation. The first article of the compact says that the Governor can join the compact, which means all of this actually falls on the Executive Branch.

Senator Scott Parsley asked if an audit will be conducted. Representative Wink said that he would be in favor of the Budget Subcommittee proceeding with an audit. Senator Parsley added that there may be some other things of value to our schools that we need to consider before making this

decision, and that he believes the Executive Branch should be responsible for paying the compact dues.

Representative Fred Romkema said that he agrees with Senator Parsley in that the Executive Branch should pay the MHEC dues.

Representative Jim Bolin said that when considering the savings, the Property Insurance Program savings could be deducted because we do not purchase insurance from MHEC. Essentially half of the estimated savings come from that program.

Representative Wink said that the Budget Subcommittee will evaluate this further and bring a recommendation to the full Board.

Executive Board Appointments

Senator Brown went through the various appointments for which the Executive Board is responsible and gave the recommendations that were made by the Executive Committee.

The Dakota Corp Scholarship Board needs two appointments. The Executive Committee recommends appointing Representative Jim Bolin and Senator Bernie Hunhoff.

REPRESENTATIVE GOSCH MOVED, SECONDED BY SENATOR WHITE, TO ADOPT THE RECOMMENDATIONS OF THE EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE FOR THE DAKOTA CORP SCHOLARSHIP BOARD APPOINTMENTS. The motion prevailed unanimously on a roll call vote. Those voting AYE: Bolin, Gosch, Hagggar, Hawley, Hunt, Johns, Romkema, Heineman, Omdahl, Parsley, Sutton, White, Brown, and Wink.

The Education Commission of the States needs two appointments. The Executive Committee recommends appointing the Chairs of the House and Senate Education Committees, Representative Jacqueline Sly and Senator Deb Soholt.

REPRESENTATIVE JOHNS MOVED, SECONDED BY SENATOR SUTTON, TO ADOPT THE RECOMMENDATIONS OF THE EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE FOR THE EDUCATION COMMISSION OF THE STATES APPOINTMENTS. The motion prevailed on a roll call vote with 10 voting AYE and 4 voting NAY. Those voting AYE: Bolin, Hawley, Johns, Romkema, Heineman, Parsley, Sutton, White, Brown, and Wink. Those voting NAY: Gosch, Hagggar, Hunt, and Omdahl.

The Interstate Compact for Adult Offender Supervision needs one appointment. The Executive Committee recommends reappointing Senator Tieszen to this compact.

SENATOR SUTTON MOVED, SECONDED BY REPRESENTATIVE ROMKEMA, TO ADOPT THE RECOMMENDATION OF THE EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE FOR THE INTERSTATE COMPACT FOR ADULT OFFENDER SUPERVISION APPOINTMENT. The motion prevailed unanimously on a roll call vote. Those voting AYE: Bolin, Gosch, Hagggar, Hawley, Hunt, Johns, Romkema, Heineman, Omdahl, Parsley, Sutton, White, Brown, and Wink.

Representative Wink said that the Board will wait until after hearing the Budget Subcommittee's report on MHEC before deciding if appointments should be made to MHEC and will make them at that time.

Technology Subcommittee Report – Part I Chambers Upgrade, Phase II

Mr. Kevin Kumpf, Computer Programmer/Analyst, gave a PowerPoint presentation regarding several options for purchasing new display boards for the House and Senate, and installing new voting software in the House Chambers (**Handout #3**). Updating the software will provide more accurate information more quickly to the Legislators and to the general public using the internet. The cost for the voting software upgrade is \$75,000.

Mr. Kumpf gave several options for installing new display boards in the House and to add display boards to the Senate.

Senator Brown said that the consensus of the Senators is that the Senate Chamber remains as is and that no display boards be placed in that Chamber. **Senator Phyllis Heineman** asked if the display board has to be installed now or if it can wait. Mr. Kumpf said installation of a display board can be done in the future if the Senate should change their minds.

REPRESENTATIVE GOSCH MOVED, SECONDED BY REPRESENTATIVE JOHNS, TO APPROVE OPTION 2, COLUMN F, INSTALLING ONE NEW DISPLAY BOARD IN THE HOUSE AND UPGRADING THE VOTING SOFTWARE. The motion prevailed on a roll call vote with 13 voting AYE and 1 voting NAY. Those voting AYE: Bolin, Gosch, Haggar, Hawley, Johns, Romkema, Heineman, Omdahl, Parsley, Sutton, White, Brown, and Wink. Voting NAY: Hunt.

Selection of Interim Committee Topics

The Board received the list of the 2015 Interim Study Rankings based on the results of 80 responses to the interim study survey that was sent to all legislators (**Handout #4**).

Senator White reported that the Budget Subcommittee recommends the selection of two new interim study committees and assigning a third topic to the existing Legislative Planning Committee.

The Board received a list of the interim committees, boards, task forces, and panels for 2015 (**Handout #5**). Ms. Cichos pointed out that this list only includes the groups of which LRC is aware. Representative Wink asked if any of these studies would duplicate the suggested interim studies. Ms. Cichos said that the Ag Land Task Force annually reviews the productivity system and that may be considered a duplication of Study G.

Representative Wink opened the meeting to public testimony regarding the selection of interim study topics.

Mr. Bob Wilcox, Executive Director, SD Association of County Commissioners, Pierre, testified in support of Study H, "A review of the functions of county government, laws and regulations regarding counties, county revenue and expenditures, and alternative funding solutions."

Mr. Wilcox said that the Association of County Commissioners would be happy to work with the study committee.

Ms. Staci Ackerman, SD Sheriff's Association, Eureka, testified that the Sheriff's Association also is in support of Study H and is willing to work with the study committee.

Mr. Ken McFarland, Minnehaha County Department Head, Sioux Falls, testified in favor of Study H. Mr. McFarland said that the counties play such an important role in governing the state and they

would like to work with the legislature on this study. The counties have to act within the laws and guidelines set by the legislature and working together would benefit both groups.

Ms. Michelle Boyd, Chief Deputy for Minnehaha County, testified in favor of Study H saying that an increasing number of arrests and incarcerations is causing problems because the county jail does not have the room to house the growing number of offenders. The Minnehaha County jail is on track for over 18,000 inmates to move in and out of that facility in 2015 and this is putting a huge strain on that budget.

Mr. Dick Kelly, Minnehaha County Commissioner, Sioux Falls, testified in favor of Study H saying that conducting this study would allow all counties the opportunity to present their issues to this legislative committee.

Senator David Omdahl asked Mr. McFarland what solutions he sees for these funding issues. Mr. McFarland said that they are simply not keeping up with all the demands on their limited funds. The city of Sioux Falls was able to add 25 police officers but the county was only able to add 1 deputy. The number of inmates keeps increasing but the number of staff working at the jail does not increase. Minnehaha County has seen a big drop in the bank franchise revenues. He suggested allowing the counties to share in the alcohol tax and the sales tax. Minnehaha County's current revenue streams cannot keep up with the kind of growth they have right now, yet the county is required by statute to provide the services.

Senator Omdahl asked if other counties in the state are facing these same problems. Mr. Wilcox said that all of the counties are under some type of pressure, most not as severe as Minnehaha County, but they all face certain problems.

Representative Bolin asked if most of Minnehaha County's issues are related to law enforcement. Mr. McFarland said that 53% of Minnehaha's county budget goes to law enforcement.

Representative Haggar said that he agrees there is a need to study this issue now. Roads, law enforcement, welfare costs are three big expenditures the counties face and issues with certain lands not being on the property tax rolls causes revenue problems. All of these challenges need to be addressed.

Senator Heineman asked that the wording "organization of the county, and cooperation between the counties and other local governments" be added to the language for the study. Representative Wink asked that Senator Heineman's language be added.

REPRESENTATIVE HAGGAR MOVED, SECONDED BY SENATOR OMDAHL, THAT INTERIM STUDY H, A REVIEW OF THE FUNCTIONS OF COUNTY GOVERNMENT, LAWS AND REGULATIONS REGARDING COUNTIES, COUNTY REVENUE AND EXPENDITURES, ALTERNATIVE FUNDING SOLUTIONS, ORGANIZATION OF THE COUNTIES, AND COOPERATION BETWEEN THE COUNTIES AND OTHER LOCAL GOVERNMENTS, BE ADOPTED AS A 2015 INTERIM STUDY. The motion prevailed unanimously on a roll call vote. Those voting AYE: Bolin, Gosch, Haggar, Hawley, Hunt, Johns, Romkema, Heineman, Omdahl, Parsley, Sutton, White, Brown, and Wink.

Senator Parsley said that it is important to examine if the counties are using the maximum property tax assessments. Some counties have opportunities available to them that they have not used and the study committee should discuss this issue. Representative Wink said that suggestion will be given to the committee.

Representative Roger Hunt said that in regard to the county government study, waste water development is another issue that local governments are facing right now and should be addressed by the interim committee.

Representative Hunt said that issues with the South Dakota High School Athletic Association (SDHSAA) came up frequently during session. Representative Bolin said that this organization has a lot of power and authority, yet it is quite mysterious to the public.

Representative Hawley said that he does not see this as a priority. **Senator Billie Sutton** said that he agrees with Representative Hawley and there are much bigger issues that need to be looked at during the interim.

Representative Brian Gosch said that Study I, the history, authority, make-up, and oversight of the SDHSAA, did receive the second highest ranking of all the proposed studies.

A MOTION WAS MADE BY REPRESENTATIVE HUNT, SECONDED BY REPRESENTATIVE GOSCH, THAT INTERIM STUDY I, *THE HISTORY, AUTHORITY, MAKE-UP, AND OVERSIGHT OF THE SDHSAA*, BE ADOPTED AS A 2015 INTERIM STUDY. The motion prevailed on a roll call vote with 10 voting AYE and 4 voting NAY. Those voting AYE: Bolin, Gosch, Hagggar, Hunt, Johns, Romkema, Heineman, Omdahl, Brown, and Wink. Those voting NAY: Hawley, Parsley, Sutton, and White.

Senator Brown said that the Legislative Planning Committee should not continue with their study of education because of the Governor's Blue Ribbon Panel on Education. The Senator said that the Board should take more time considering what topic would be best for the Planning Committee and make that decision at the May Board meeting. Representative Gosch said that he agreed with the suggestion to continue this discussion at the May meeting.

Senator Billie Sutton said that he has been on the Legislative Planning Committee since its inception and that the study of workforce development and secondary career and technical education would be a perfect fit for the committee to study.

SENATOR SUTTON MOVED, SECONDED BY REPRESENTATIVE HAWLEY, TO COMBINE STUDY C, *A STUDY OF SECONDARY CAREER AND TECHNICAL EDUCATION IN SOUTH DAKOTA*, WITH STUDY J, *WORKFORCE DEVELOPMENT*, AND GIVE THAT COMBINED TOPIC TO THE LEGISLATIVE PLANNING COMMITTEE FOR THE 2015 INTERIM. The motion failed on a roll call vote with 4 voting AYE and 10 voting NAY. Those voting AYE: Bolin, Hawley, Parsley, and Sutton. Those voting NAY: Gosch, Hagggar, Hunt, Johns, Romkema, Heineman, Omdahl, White, Brown, and Wink.

REPRESENTATIVE HUNT MOVED, SECONDED BY SENATOR OMDAHL, TO DEFER ACTION ON ASSIGNING A TOPIC TO THE LEGISLATIVE PLANNING COMMITTEE UNTIL THE MAY EXECUTIVE BOARD MEETING. Motion prevailed on a voice vote.

Senator Brown requested that the chair and vice chair of the Legislative Planning Committee be invited to attend the May Executive Board meeting.

Technology Subcommittee Report – Part II Legislative Conference Rooms (LCR) 1 & 2 Upgrade

Mr. Kumpf presented a report on suggested technology upgrades for meeting rooms LCR 1 & 2 that will address the variety of problems with the sound system and presentation system in those rooms **(Handout #6)**.

LCR 1 & 2 are the meeting rooms used during session by the Appropriations committees and the Democratic Caucuses, as well as used by interim committees throughout the remainder of the year. The cost of the proposed upgrade is \$109,788 and that includes a one-year service program. After that first year, the service contract will be rolled into the contracts covering the other legislative meeting rooms. Director Hancock said that the cost for this upgrade is included in the LRC year-end allocation.

REPRESENTATIVE ROMKEMA MOVED, SECONDED BY REPRESENTATIVE BOLIN, TO APPROVE THE UPGRADE PROPOSAL FOR LEGISLATIVE CONFERENCE ROOMS 1 AND 2 AS PRESENTED. The motion prevailed unanimously on a roll call vote. Those voting AYE: Bolin, Gosch, Hagggar, Hawley, Hunt, Johns, Romkema, Heineman, Omdahl, Parsley, Sutton, White, Brown, and Wink.

Technology Subcommittee Report – Part III IT System Upgrade for the Legislative Branch

Representative Bolin started the third part of the Technology Subcommittee's report by giving a short history of technology in South Dakota State Government. He pointed out that the adoption of the proposed changes will move the Legislative Branch closer to equality with the Executive Branch in the area of technology **(Handout #7)**. The recommendation of the Technology Subcommittee is to adopt the new IT system as proposed by the LRC.

Director Hancock said that this process began with the NCSL report written in 2013 which suggested that the Legislature have an IT system independent of the Executive Branch. Director Hancock then introduced the newest LRC staff member, Mr. Brian DeBolt, Computer Network Administrator.

Mr. Brian DeBolt, Computer Network Administrator, went through the information he has accumulated which shows how he will implement the necessary steps to bring the Legislature closer to technology independence.

LRC plans to use a Storage Attach Network (SAN) from Dell, and contract with SDN Communications out of Sioux Falls for the internet service and firewalls. The email service is planned to be through Microsoft. The SD Bureau of Information and Telecommunications (BIT) will continue to provide some communication services, but the network will belong to the LRC and BIT will not have access to that network.

Director Hancock said that the proposal includes continuing with BIT through March of 2016 as a type of insurance policy for the first full session of the new network. This means that for a short period of time LRC will be paying to develop this independent system and still paying BIT for their services. The NCSL report from 2013 is the catalyst for LRC taking this action.

Representative Gosch commented that taking this action is important for meeting the needs of the Legislative Branch. Various levels of bureaucracy slows the response time needed to provide the best services to the taxpayers and removing those layers allows the Legislative Branch to better serve the people of South Dakota.

SENATOR BROWN MOVED, SECONDED BY REPRESENTATIVE GOSCH, TO ADOPT THE RECOMMENDATION OF THE TECHNOLOGY SUBCOMMITTEE TO IMPLEMENT AN INDEPENDENT NETWORK SYSTEM FOR THE LEGISLATURE. The motion prevailed unanimously on a roll call vote. Those voting AYE: Bolin, Gosch, Haggar, Hawley, Hunt, Johns, Romkema, Heineman, Omdahl, Parsley, Sutton, White, Brown, and Wink.

Budget Subcommittee Report

Senator White, Chair of the Budget Subcommittee, reported that the subcommittee had discussed MHEC, Interim Study Committees and the Legislative Planning Committee. All of those topics were discussed earlier in this meeting by the full Board.

The subcommittee also discussed HB 1145 and how that addresses a new way of compensating legislators for travel. The subcommittee is still discussing that and will have a proposal for the May Executive Board meeting. Ms. Mehlhaff added that the adoption of a third study committee and the number of members on each study committee will affect the final proposal made by the subcommittee.

Interim Travel Policies

Director Hancock presented documents including the current out-of-state travel policy, the proposed out-of-state travel policy, and a proposal for legislator participation in non-legislative task forces/boards/commissions (**Handout #8**). Mr. Hancock explained that there are several boards and commissions that legislators serve on but are not appointed through the legislature. The LRC often is not informed that these groups are meeting and then legislators wonder why their staff is not at these meetings.

Representative Gosch said that the language in the proposed travel policy does not mirror the intent of the legislation passed this session and he would like to wait to take action on this proposal until that language is addressed.

Representative Wink said that no action will be taken on the travel policy proposals until the May Executive Board meeting.

Chambers Carpeting Update

Director Hancock distributed an email from the Bureau of Administration (BOA) giving an update on the carpeting that is being done in the galleries and in some of the legislative offices during the interim (**Handout #9**). This project should be completed by August and will be paid for out of the BOA's budget.

Representative Haggar asked about the phone booths in the private lobbies. Director Hancock said that 75% of the legislators said they do not use the phone booths in the private lobbies and that the survey will be discussed further in Executive Session.

Executive Session

SENATOR BROWN MOVED, SECONDED BY REPRESENTATIVE GOSCH, THAT THE EXECUTIVE BOARD GO INTO EXECUTIVE SESSION TO DISCUSS ITEMS RELATING TO PERSONNEL. The motion prevailed on a voice vote.

The Executive Board went into Executive Session at 2:30 p.m.

REPRESENTATIVE JOHNS MOVED, SECONDED BY SENATOR WHITE, TO COME OUT OF EXECUTIVE SESSION. The motion prevailed on a voice vote.

The Executive Board came out of Executive Session at 2:50 p.m. No action was taken.

Adjourn

SENATOR BROWN MOVED, SECONDED BY SENATOR PARSLEY, THAT THE EXECUTIVE BOARD BE ADJOURNED. The motion prevailed unanimously on a voice vote.

The Board adjourned at 2:55 p.m.

All committee agendas and minutes are available on the LRC website: <http://legis.sd.gov/>. You may subscribe to electronic delivery of agendas and minutes at E-Subscribe on the LRC website.